1 CAROL A. SOBEL SBN 84483 COLLEEN M. MULLEN SBN 299059 JUSTINE SCHNEEWEIS SBN 305672 3 LAW OFFICE OF CAROL A. SOBEL 3110 Main Street, Suite 210 4 Santa Monica, California 90405 5 t. 310 393-3055 f. 310 399-1854 e. carolsobel@aol.com 6 7 FERNANDO GAYTAN SBN SBN 224712 SHAYLA R. MYERS SBN 264054 8 LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES 7000 S. Broadway Los Angeles, California 90003 10 t. 213 640-3983 11 f. 213 640-3988 12 e. smyers@lafla.org Attorneys for Plaintiff CANGRESS 13 14 (ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON NEXT PAGE) 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION 17 CARL MITCHELL, MICHAEL Case No.: CV 16-01750 SJO (JPRx) 18 ESCOBEDO, SALVADOR ROQUE, 19 JUDY COLEMAN, as individuals; LOS **DECLARATION OF SHAYLA** ANGELES CATHOLIC WORKER, MYERS IN SUPPORT OF 20 CANGRESS, as organizations, PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN 21 SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' EX PLAINTIFFS, PARTE APPLICATION TO 22 STRIKE AND/OR SEAL V. 23 PUBLICALLY FILED **DOCUMENTS** 24 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal entity; LT. ANDREW MATHIS, SGT. 25 Date: none HAMER and SGT. RICHTER, in their 26 Time: none individual and official capacities, Courtroom: 1 27 DEFENDANTS. 28

## **Declaration of Shayla Myers**

1. I am an attorney at the Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles, and an attorney of record in this matter. If called to testify as a witness, I could testify competently to the information contained herein.

- 2. On April 8, 2016, in response to the City Attorney's representation that he believed that the video was available only via special motion, I contacted the Clerk's office for the Central Division of California, Western Division and spoke to the Civil Intake clerk. I told him I was looking for a copy of a video that had been manually filed in a case pending in the Central District, Western Division, and that I wanted a copy of the video. The clerk informed me that the documents could be accessed via the Records Department, and that I could walk into the records office and request a copy.
- 3. I am attaching as Exhibit A the last email exchanged between Plaintiffs and Defendants yesterday concerning sealing these items. Plaintiffs stated their understanding that Defendants did not oppose the under seal filing of their ex parte although they did oppose the ex parte itself. There was no response to this email to clarify that this was not accurate.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 8<sup>th</sup> of April, 2016 in Los Angeles, California.

**DOCUMENTS** 

Shayla Myers

## Exhibit A



Catherine Sweetser <catherine.sdshhh@gmail.com>

## Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles

Catherine Sweetser <catherine.sdshhh@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 7, 2016 at 11:22 AM

To: Eric Brown <eric.brown@lacity.org>

Cc: Thomas Peters <thom.peters@lacity.org>, Carol Sobel <carolsobel@aol.com>, Shayla Myers

<SMyers@lafla.org>, Terry Lee <terry.lee@lacity.org>

Thank you for getting back to me. We will inform the court that you do not oppose the court allowing us to file our application to strike under seal but you do oppose our application to seal the videos.

On Thu, Apr 7, 2016 at 11:17 AM, Eric Brown <eric brown@lacity.org> wrote: Catherine,

We do not believe there are grounds to make the request to strike under seal. Accordingly, we will not stipulate to that form of filing.

However, as a professional courtesy, if you make the request to the Court to file under seal, we will not oppose it.

Eric